

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/533,971	Applicant(s) HIGASHIHARA, YUKIO	
	Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Pillion.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, and 7, 21-22 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Goldstein (US 5405177)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend the claims to define over the prior art. See Ex's amd't and reasons for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/Signatory)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)